arXiv:1512.04890v1 [math.GR] 15 Dec 2015

Exponent Preserving Subgroups of the Finite Simple Groups

Andrea Pachera
pak.ska@gmail.com

December 16, 2015

Abstract

Given a group G denote with $\exp(G)$ its exponent, which is the least common multiple of the order of its elements. In this paper we solve the problem of finding the finite simple groups having a proper subgroup with the same exponent. For each G with this property we will give an explicit example of $H < G$ with $\exp(G) = \exp(H)$.

1 Introduction

Given a group G, denote with $\pi(G)$ the set of prime divisors of $|G|$, with $\Gamma(G)$ its prime graph, and with $\exp(G)$ its exponent, i.e. the least common multiple of the orders of its elements.

In the recent years a series of problems have been investigated, related to the existence of a suitable subgroup $H < G$ preserving some prescribed property of G. For example, Lucchini, Morigi, and Shumyatsky [6] proved that if G is finite then it always contains a 2-generated subgroup H with $\pi(G) = \pi(H)$, and a 3-generated subgroup H with $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$; Covato [3] extended these results to profinite groups; Burness and Covato [1] showed which finite simple groups G contain a proper subgroup H with $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma(H)$.

The aim of this work is to find the finite simple groups which have a proper subgroup with the same exponent, and we prove the following result:

Theorem. The finite simple groups which contain a proper subgroup with the same exponent are the following:

(i) the alternating groups A_n with $n \geqslant 5$, except when $n = 10$, $n = p^r$ with p odd prime, or $n = p_f + 1$ where p_f is a Fermat prime;

(ii) the symplectic groups $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$, except when $q = 3^k$ or $q = 2$;

(iii) the symplectic groups $\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)$ with m and q even, except when $m = q = 2$;

(iv) the orthogonal groups $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$ with $m \geqslant 4$ even, except when $p^a = 2m - 1$ for some a, where $q = p^k$;

(v) the orthogonal groups $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$ with $m \geqslant 4$ even;

(vi) the Mathieu groups M_{12}, M_{24};

(vii) the Higman-Sims group HS.

The proof is based on [5], where Table 10.7 can be used to obtain Table 1 below, which contains a list of all the possible pairs (G, M) where M is a maximal subgroup of the finite simple group G with $\pi(M) = \pi(G)$. For each of these pairs (G, M) we check whether $\exp(M) = \exp(G)$, organizing our discussion in the following way:

(i) in section 3 we solve the problem for the four infinite families of classical groups of Lie type (a)-(d). Notice that this requires the study of the Sylow p-subgroups of the groups involved, which are discussed in section 2, in order to compare the exponents of G and M;

(ii) the alternating group (e) is studied in section 4;

(iii) in the other cases, the possibilities for M are explicitly listed, so it's easy to make a direct computation: they are studied in section 5.

	G	M	Remarks
(a)	$\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)$	$M \trianglerighteq \Omega^-_{2m}(q)$	m, q even
(b)	$\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$	$M \trianglerighteq \Omega^-_{2m}(q)$	m even, q odd
(c)	$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$	$M \trianglerighteq \Omega_{2m-1}(q)$	m even
(d)	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$	$M \trianglerighteq \mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)$	
(e)	A_c	$A_k \trianglelefteq M \leqslant S_k \times S_{c-k}$	
	A_6	$\mathrm{L}_2(5)$	
	$\mathrm{L}_6(2)$	P_1, P_5	
	$\mathrm{U}_3(3)$	$\mathrm{L}_2(7)$	
	$\mathrm{U}_3(5)$	A_7	
	$\mathrm{U}_4(2)$	$2^4 \rtimes A_5$, S_6	
	$\mathrm{U}_4(3)$	$\mathrm{L}_3(4)$, A_7	
	$\mathrm{U}_5(2)$	$\mathrm{L}_2(11)$	
	$\mathrm{U}_6(2)$	M_{22}	
	$\mathrm{PSp}_4(7)$	A_7	
	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	S_8	
	$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_8(2)$	P_1, P_3, P_4, A_9	
	$G_2(3)$	$\mathrm{L}_2(13)$	
	${}^2F_4(2)'$	$\mathrm{L}_2(25)$	
	M_{11}	$\mathrm{L}_2(11)$	
	M_{12}	M_{11}, $\mathrm{L}_2(11)$	
	M_{24}	M_{23}	
	HS	M_{22}	
	McL	M_{22}	
	Co_2	M_{23}	
	Co_3	M_{23}	

Table 1: The pairs (G, M) with $\pi(G) = \pi(M)$.

Notation. The notation is fairly standard, with the classical groups denoted in the following way:

$$\mathrm{L}_n(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q) \leqslant \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$$
$$\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q) \leqslant \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$$
$$\mathrm{P}\Omega^\eta_n(q) \leqslant \Omega^\eta_n(q) \leqslant \mathrm{SO}^\eta_n(q) \leqslant \mathrm{GO}^\eta_n(q)$$

where $\eta = \pm 1$ if n is even, and it's omitted if n is odd. It may be omitted also when referring to an unspecified orthogonal group.

$\mathrm{K}_n(q)$ denotes the kernel of the spinor norm in $\mathrm{GO}_n(q)$, so that $\mathrm{K}_n(q) \cap \mathrm{SO}_n(q) = \Omega_n(q)$.

P_i denotes a parabolic subgroup stabilizing a i-dimensional subspace.

$A \wr_{\mathrm{tw}} B$ denotes a twisted wreath product as described in [10].

$\mathrm{ord}_p(q)$ denotes the multiplicative order, i.e. it's the minimum e such that $q^e \equiv 1 \bmod p$.

$a^b \parallel c$ means that $a^b \mid c$ but $a^{b+1} \nmid c$.

2 The Sylow p-subgroups of the classical groups

The four infinite families to study involve the groups $\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)$, $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$, and $\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$.

In order to evaluate their exponents, we study their Sylow p-subgroups to get the corresponding power of p in the factorization of the exponent. In particular, the following hold:

Proposition 2.1. *Take $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2c}(q)$ or $\mathrm{GO}_d(q)$, p odd with $p \nmid q$, $e = \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$, and $p^r \parallel q^e - 1$. A Sylow p-subgroup of G is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ (if e is even) or $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ (if e is odd) for some n. In particular:*

$$\exp_p(\mathrm{GL}_n(q)) = p^{r+v} \qquad ep^v \leqslant n < ep^{v+1}, \tag{1}$$

$$\exp_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)) = p^{r+v} \qquad 2ep^v \leqslant 2n < 2ep^{v+1}. \tag{2}$$

Since p is odd, then $\exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_{2c}(q)) = \exp_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2c}(q))$ and $\exp_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega_d(q)) = \exp_p(\mathrm{GO}_d(q))$.

Proposition 2.2. *Take q odd, s such that $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2 - 1$, and r_t such that $2^{r_t} \leqslant n < 2^{r_t+1}$, where $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m+1$ is the degree of the group. Then:*

$$\exp_2(\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)) = \begin{cases} 2^{s+r_t-1} & \text{if } m \neq 2^k \\ 2^{s+r_t-2} & \text{if } m = 2^k \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$$\exp_2(\Omega_{2m+1}(q)) = \exp_2(\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)) = \begin{cases} 2^{s+r_t-1} & \text{if } m \neq 2^k \\ 2^{s+r_t-2} & \text{if } m = 2^k \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\exp_2(\Omega^\eta_{2m}(q)) = \exp_2(\mathrm{P}\Omega^\eta_{2m}(q)) = \begin{cases} 2^{s+r_t-1} & \text{if } m \neq 2^k \\ 2^{s+r_t-2} & \text{if } m = 2^k \end{cases} \quad (m > 2) \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Take $p \mid q$, and G a symplectic or orthogonal group over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$\exp_p(G) = \min\{\, p^a \mid p^a > c - 1 \,\}, \tag{6}$$

where c is $2m$ if $G = (\mathrm{P})\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ or $(\mathrm{P})\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, and $2m-2$ if $G = (\mathrm{P})\Omega^\pm_{2m}(q)$.

These calculations follow from a series of results describing the Sylow p-subgroups of the classical groups, proved in [2, 8, 9, 10], which we recall here.

2.1 Sylow p-subgroups in characteristic prime to p

The Sylow p-subgroups with q prime to p and $p \neq 2$, are described in [9]. The construction holds for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ and $\mathrm{SO}_n(q)$.

For the next results, take $e = \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$, and define r as $q^e - 1 = p^r x$, where $(p, x) = 1$.

2.1.1 General Linear Group

We need some information concerning the Sylow p-subgroups of the general linear group, since they are required in the description of the Sylow p-subgroups of the symplectic and orthogonal groups.

Consider $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. Suppose $n = c + ea$ and $a = a_0 + a_1 p + \ldots + a_v p^v$, where $0 \leqslant c < e$ and $0 \leqslant a_i < p$, and take G_0 a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_e(q)$, which is a cyclic group of order p^r.

For example, fix a base of $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and identify $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ with $\mathrm{GL}_e(q)$. The natural action of $\mathbb{F}^\times_{q^e}$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$ induces an embedding $\mathbb{F}^\times_{q^e} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_e(q)$, then take a maximal p-subgroup.

Define $G_{i+1} = G_i \wr C_p$. Then a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ is isomorphic to $\prod_0^v G_i^{a_i}$.

In particular, $\exp_p(\mathrm{GL}_n(q)) = \exp(G_v) = p^{r+v}$, where $ep^v \leqslant n < ep^{v+1}$.

2.1.2 Symplectic Group

Consider $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$. If e is even, a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ is already a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(q)$. If e is odd, a similar construction gives that a Sylow p-subgroup is isomorphic to $\prod_0^v G_i^{b_i}$, where $2n = d + 2be$ $(0 \leqslant d < 2e)$, $b = b_0 + b_1 p + \ldots + b_v p^v$ $(0 \leqslant b_i < p)$, G_0 is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2e}(q)$, and $G_{i+1} = G_i \wr C_p$.

G_0 is again a cyclic group of order p^r: consider the subgroup R of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2e}(q)$ of all $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ where A and B belong to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_e(q)$. Being symplectic implies that $A^\top B = 1$, so that R is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_e(q)$.

In particular, $\exp_p(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(G_v) = p^{r+v}$, where $ep^v \leqslant 2n < ep^{v+1}$ if e is even and $2ep^v \leqslant 2n < 2ep^{v+1}$ if e is odd.

2.1.3 Orthogonal Group

In odd dimension, the construction is almost the same as for the symplectic group (notice that $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ and $\mathrm{GO}_{2m+1}(q)$ have the same order), giving the same result in term of exponent evaluation: $\exp_p(\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)) = p^{r+v}$, where $ep^v \leqslant 2m+1 < ep^{v+1}$ if e is even and $2ep^v \leqslant 2m < 2ep^{v+1}$ if e is odd. In even dimension, consider $\mathrm{SO}^{\varepsilon}_{2m}(q)$: a Sylow p-subgroup is already a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)$ if $p \mid q^m - \varepsilon$, and a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m-1}(q)$ otherwise.

2.2 Sylow 2-subgroups in odd characteristic

The construction of Sylow 2-subgroups is described in [2] and [10]. The idea is the same as before, with proper adjustments.

2.2.1 Symplectic Group

Consider $\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)$ first, and denote with W a Sylow 2-subgroup. Since $|\mathrm{Sp}_2(q)| = q\big(q^2-1\big)$, $|W| = 2^{s+1}$ where $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2-1$.

If $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, let ε be a primitive 2^s-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then

$$W \simeq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

If $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, let ε be a primitive 2^{s+1}-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then

$$W \simeq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \varepsilon+\varepsilon^q \end{pmatrix}^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

By writing $W \simeq \langle X, Y \rangle$, it's clear that $\exp(W) = \exp(X) = 2^s$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, and write $2n = 2^{r_1} + \ldots + 2^{r_t}$, where $r_1 < \ldots < r_t$. Then $S \simeq W_{r_1} \times \ldots \times W_{r_t}$, where $W_r = W \wr \underbrace{C_2 \wr \ldots \wr C_2}_{r-1}$.*

In particular, $\exp_2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)) = \exp(W_{r_t}) = 2^{s+r_t-1}$.

A Sylow 2-subgroup S' of $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ is obtained by quotienting a Sylow 2-subgroup S of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ over $S \cap Z$ where $Z = \langle -1_n \rangle$ is the centre of the group.

If $S \simeq W_{r_1} \times \ldots \times W_{r_t}$, then there exists an element of the form $(1_{2^{r_1}}, \ldots, 1_{2^{r_{t-1}}}, g)$ of maximum order, whose powers meet the centre only in 1_n.

If $S \simeq W_r$, notice that an element $x \in W_r = W_{r-1} \wr C_2$ with maximum order 2^c is of the form $\big((g,h),\sigma\big)$, where $gh \in W_{r-1}$ has maximum order and $C_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle$, so that $x^{2^{c-1}} = \big((-1_{2^{r-1}}, -1_{2^{r-1}}), 1\big) = -1_{2^r}$.

Therefore,

$$\exp_2(\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)) = \begin{cases} 2^{s+r_t-1} & \text{if } n \neq 2^k \\ 2^{s+r_t-2} & \text{if } n = 2^k \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2-1$, and $2^{r_t} \leqslant 2n < 2^{r_t+1}$.

2.2.2 Orthogonal Group

Consider the groups $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$ and $\mathrm{GO}^{\pm}_{2n}(q)$ first.

Since $|\mathrm{GO}^{\varepsilon}_2(q)| = 2(q-\varepsilon)$, again $|W| = 2^{s+1}$ where $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2-1$. W is dihedral so $\exp(W) = 2^s$, in particular we may take $W = \langle u, w \rangle$, where:

- if $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then the underlying form is of plus type and is represented by $Q(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1x_2$. Take ε a primitive 2^s-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

- if $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, then the underlying form is of minus type and is represented by $Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Take $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a + b\sqrt{-1}$ is a primitive 2^s-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \qquad w = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An order comparison shows that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_3(q)$ may be obtained with the natural embedding $W \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \det W & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}$. In general, the following holds:

Theorem 2.5. *Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$ and let $2n = 2^{r_1} + \ldots + 2^{r_t}$, with $r_1 < \ldots < r_t$. Then $S \simeq W_{r_1} \times \ldots \times W_{r_t}$, where $W_r = W \wr \underbrace{C_2 \wr \ldots \wr C_2}_{r-1}$ and W is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_2^\eta(q)$ with $q \equiv \eta \bmod 4$.*

While in even dimension:

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_{2n}^\eta(q)$.*

(i) *If $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$, then S is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$.*

(ii) *If $q^n \equiv -\eta \bmod 4$, then $S \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \times S_0$, where S_0 is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}(q)$.*

The exponent of a Sylow 2-subgroup is the same in $\mathrm{GO}_{2n}(q)$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(q)$. The argument is similar to the one used to deduce (7): consider the previous construction $W = \langle u, w \rangle$ and check the determinant. If $n = 2^k$, a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_2(q)$ is obtained using $W' = \langle u \rangle$ instead, which has the order halved but the same exponent. If $n \neq 2^k$, consider a Sylow 2-subgroup $(C_2 \times C_2) \times W_{r_1} \times \ldots \times W_{r_t}$ of $\mathrm{GO}_{2n}(q)$, then an element of maximum order and determinant one can be obtained by taking an element of W_{r_t} with maximum order, and adjusting the determinant by taking suitable elements of the other groups.

The comparison of the exponents of $\mathrm{SO}_n(q)$ and $\Omega_n(q)$ shares the same idea, i.e. if $n \neq 2^k$ then the exponent doesn't change: $S \simeq (C_2 \times C_2) \times W_{r_1} \times \ldots \times \ldots W_{r_t}$ so take an element of W_{r_t} with maximum order, and adjust the spinor norm taking a proper element of the other groups.

It's not immediately obvious what happens when $n = 2^r$ though, i.e. if there exists an element of maximum order and spinor norm 1. The result can be deduced anyway using theorems 7, 8, 10 in [10].

Theorem 2.7. *If $q^n \equiv -\eta \bmod 4$, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n}^\eta(q) = \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}^\eta(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_{2n-2}^{\eta'}(q)$, where $q^{n-1} \equiv \eta' \bmod 4$.*

Theorem 2.8. *A Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_{2n+1}(q) = \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{K}_{2n}^\eta(q)$, where $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$.*

So the remaining cases are $\mathrm{K}_{2n}^\eta(q)$ and $\Omega_{2n}^\eta(q)$, where $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$ and $n = 2^r$.

Consider $\mathrm{K}_{2n}^\eta(q)$ first. Take $n = 1$, and remember the construction of a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_2(q)$ as $W \simeq \langle u, w \rangle$, with $u^{2^s} = w^2 = 1$ and $u^w = u^{-1}$ (2.2.2).

The Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{K}_2(q)$ contained in $W \simeq \langle u, w \rangle$ is $W' \simeq \langle v, w \rangle$, where $v = u^2$. It's still dihedral, but it has both the order and the exponent halved, being now 2^s and 2^{s-1} respectively. In particular:

$$v^{2^{s-1}} = w^2 = 1, \qquad v^w = v^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Put $e = uw$, so that $e \in \mathrm{GO}_2(q) \backslash \mathrm{K}_2(q)$, $e^2 = uu^w = 1$ and $W \simeq W' \langle e \rangle$, where

$$v^e = v^{-1}, \qquad w^e = vw. \tag{9}$$

From now on use e to denote $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GO}_{2n}(q) \backslash \mathrm{K}_{2n}(q)$, which has order 2.

Theorem 2.9. *Take $\mathrm{K}_{2n}^\eta(q)$, where $n = 2^r$ ($r \geqslant 0$) and $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$. Let $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2 - 1$, $E = \langle e \rangle \simeq C_2$, $W' \simeq \langle v, w \rangle$ as in (8), $\rho : E \to \mathrm{Aut}\, W'$ as in (9), and $V = \langle a, b \rangle \simeq C_2 \times C_2$.*

Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{K}_{2n}^\eta(q)$ is isomorphic to the repeated twisted wreath product $W_r' = W' \wr_{tw} \underbrace{V \wr_{tw} \ldots \wr_{tw} V}_{r}$, where the action of V on $(W_i')^2$ is given by $(x, y)^a = (x^e, y^e)$ and $(x, y)^b = (y, x)$ for any $x, y \in W_i'$.

With this action, $\exp(W'_r) = 2 \cdot \exp(W'_{r-1})$, so that $\exp(W'_r) = 2^r \exp(W') = 2^{r+s-1}$. Indeed, take for example $v_i \in W'_i$ of maximum order, then $\big((v_i, 1), b\big) \in W'_{i+1}$ has double its order.

Consider now $\Omega^\eta_{2n}(q)$ and $\mathrm{P}\Omega^\eta_{2n}(q) = \Omega_{2n}(q)/Z$, where $Z = \langle z \rangle$, and $z = -1_{2n}$ is the centre of $\Omega^\eta_{2n}(q)$, and again $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$.

For $n = 1$ a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega^\eta_2(q)$ is $W'' = \langle v \rangle$, which has order and exponent 2^{s-1}. This time, W is not a split extension of T, so the inductive construction has to start from $n = 2$.

Consider again $e = \begin{pmatrix} uw & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{2n-2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GO}^\eta_{2n}(q) \backslash \mathrm{K}^\eta_{2n}(q)$, and take

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{2n-4} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{K}^\eta_{2n}(q) \backslash \Omega^\eta_{2n}(q).$$

$F = \langle e, f \rangle$ is then a non-cyclic group of order 4 with trivial intersection with $\Omega_{2n}(q)$. For $n = 2$, one may take $W'' = \langle d, g, h, k \rangle$, where

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ w & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$d^{2^{s-1}} = g^{2^{s-1}} = z, \qquad z^2 = h^2 = k^2 = 1, \qquad d^h = d^{-1}, \qquad g^k = g^{-1}, \tag{10}$$
$$[d, g] = [d, k] = [h, g] = [h, k] = 1.$$

which means that W'' is a central product of two dihedral groups of order 2^{s+1}, and $\langle z \rangle$ is the centre of W''. Then, the action of F on W'' is given by

$$d^e = g^{-1}, \qquad g^e = d^{-1}, \qquad h^e = gk, \qquad k^e = dh, \tag{11}$$
$$d^f = g, \qquad g^f = d, \qquad h^f = k, \qquad k^f = h.$$

Theorem 2.10. *Take $\Omega^\eta_{2n}(q)$, where $n = 2^r$ ($r \geqslant 1$) and $q^n \equiv \eta \bmod 4$. Let $2^{s+1} \parallel q^2 - 1$, $F = \langle e, f \rangle \simeq C_2 \times C_2$, $W'' \simeq \langle d, g, h, k \rangle$ as in (10), $\rho : F \to \mathrm{Aut}\, W''$ as in (11), and $V = \langle a, b, c \rangle \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.*

Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega^\eta_{2n}(q)$ is isomorphic to the repeated twisted wreath product $W''_r = W'' \wr_{tw} \underbrace{V \wr_{tw} \dots \wr_{tw} V}_{r-1}$, where the action of V on $(W''_i)^2$ is given by $(x, y)^a = (x^e, y^e)$, $(x, y)^b = (x^f, y^f)$, and $(x, y)^c = (y, x)$ for any $x, y \in W''_i$.

Again $\exp(W''_r) = 2 \cdot \exp(W''_{r-1})$, so the exponent is $\exp(W''_r) = 2^{r-1} \exp(W'') = 2^{r+s-2}$.

Here, passing from $\Omega_{2n}(q)$ to $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2n}(q)$ requires to replace W'' with $W''/\langle z \rangle$ in the construction. This lowers the exponent when $r = 1$, but it's irrelevant since $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_4(q)$ is not simple and $\mathrm{P}\Omega^-_4(q) \simeq \mathrm{PSL}_2(q^2)$ is already studied elsewhere. For $r > 1$ the exponent doesn't change, take for example $r = 2$: d and g have order 2^{s-1} since in the projective group $z = 1$.

Then $x = \big((d, 1), ac\big) \in (W''/\langle z \rangle)_2$ has order 2^s, indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \big((d, 1), ac\big), \\
x^2 &= \big((d, 1)(1, d^e), 1\big) = \big((d, g^{-1}), 1\big), \\
x^3 &= \big((d, 1)(g^{-e}, d^e), ac\big) = \big((d^2, g^{-1}), ac\big), \\
x^4 &= \big((d, 1)(g^{-e}, (d^e)^2), 1\big) = \big((d^2, g^{-2}), 1\big), \\
x^{2^{s-1}} &= \big((d^{2^{s-2}}, g^{-2^{s-2}}), 1\big), \\
x^{2^s} &= \big((d^{2^{s-1}}, g^{-2^{s-1}}), 1\big) = \big((1, 1), 1\big).
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 Sylow p-subgroups in characteristic p

This case is completely solved by corollary 0.5 of [8], which in our situation can be simplified in the following way:

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be a classical group defined over a field of characteristic p. Then the exponent of a Sylow p-subgroup of G is $\min\{\, p^a \mid p^a > c-1 \,\}$, where c is the Coxeter number of G.*

Note that the original proof of this theorem depends upon the classification of the conjugacy classes of unipotent elements, which requires p to be "good" prime. The result still holds in general, thanks to the extension of Bala-Carter Theorem due to Duckworth [4].

3 The infinite families

This section covers the remaining four infinite families (G, M) where $M = N_G(H)$ and (G, H) are as in the following table:

G	H	Remarks
$\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)$	$\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$	m, q even
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$	$\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$	m even, q odd
$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$	$\Omega_{2m-1}(q)$	m even
$\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$	$\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)$	

Table 2: The cases (a)-(d) of Table 1.

The idea is to compare the exponents of the Sylow p-subgroups of G and H using the results in the previous section, and check $\exp(M)$ when $\exp(G) \neq \exp(H)$. The Sylow p-subgroups of G and H obtained with the constructions presented in the previous section will be called S_G and S_H respectively if there could be any ambiguity. Recall also the notation: if $p \nmid q$ then $e = \mathrm{ord}_p(q)$ and $q^e = 1 + p^r x$ with $(x, p) = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$ *contains a subgroup with the same exponent if and only if the characteristic of the underlying field is different from 3. An example of such a subgroup is* $\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2) \rtimes C_2$.

Proof. Consider first p odd, $p \nmid q$. Since $p \neq 2$, the degree of the groups is too small to require a wreath product in the construction of a Sylow p-subgroup: using the notation in 2.1.2, this means that they are of the form G_0 or G_0^2, so $\exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)) = \exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) = p^r$.

Take now $p = 2$ in odd characteristic. By (7) we have that $\exp_2(\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)) = 2^a$ with $2^a \parallel q^2 - 1$, and $\exp_2(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) = 2^{b-1}$ with $2^b \parallel q^4 - 1$. But $q^4 - 1 = (q^2-1)(q^2+1)$, so $b = a + 1$.

Finally, if $p \mid q$ then by (6)

$$\exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)) = \begin{cases} \exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) & \text{if } p \neq 2,\, 3 \\ p \cdot \exp_p(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) & \text{if } p = 2,\, 3 \end{cases}$$

This means that the only problem is when the characteristic of the field is 2 or 3, so we consider the normalizer $\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2) \rtimes C_2$, which is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{PSp}_4(q)$.

If $q = 3^k$, clearly $\exp(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) = \exp(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2) \rtimes C_2) = \frac{1}{3}\exp(\mathrm{PSp}_4(q))$.

If $q = 2^k$ we have $\exp(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2) \rtimes C_2) = 2 \cdot \exp(\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)) = \exp(\mathrm{PSp}_4(q))$. Indeed, consider the automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ of order 2 given by $\sigma : x \mapsto x^{2^k}$. The action of C_2 onto $\mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2)$ is the induced automorphism, which maps each entry of the matrix with its 2^k-th power.

Recall that the upper unitriangular matrices form a Sylow 2-subgroup and take $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, so that $x = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma \right) \in \mathrm{PSp}_2(q^2) \rtimes C_2$ has order 4 if $\alpha \neq 1$. □

Proposition 3.2. $\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)$ *with $m \geqslant 4$ even and q even always contains a subgroup with the same exponent. An example of such a subgroup is* $\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$.

Proof. Consider $p = 2$: by (6), $\exp_2(\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)) = \min\{\, 2^a \mid 2^a > 2m-1 \,\}$ and $\exp_2(\Omega^-_{2m}(q)) = \min\{\, 2^a \mid 2^a > 2m-3 \,\}$, so they're different iff $2m-3 < 2^a \leqslant 2m-1$ for some a. This would imply $2^{a-1} = m-1$, but m is even and $m \geqslant 4$ so it can't occur.

Take now p odd, then S_G is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ and S_H to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^-_{2m}(q)$. There are essentially two different situations, depending on $\big(p, q^m+1\big)$.

If $p \mid q^m+1$, a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^-_{2m}(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)$:

- if e is even, S_H and S_G are Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m+1}(q)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q)$, respectively, so they are isomorphic, otherwise we would have $\exp_p(\mathrm{GL}_{2m+1}(q)) < \exp_p(\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q))$. Indeed, notice that $|\mathrm{GL}_{2m+1}(q)| = |\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q)| \cdot (q^{2m+1}-1)$, but e is even so $p \nmid q^{2m+1}-1$, and the order of their Sylow p-subgroups is the same;
- if e is odd, S_H is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$.

If $p \nmid q^m+1$, a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^-_{2m}(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m-1}(q)$:

- if e is even, S_H and S_G are Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m-1}(q)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q)$, respectively. Since $|\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q)| = |\mathrm{GL}_{2m-1}(q)| \cdot (q^{2m}-1)$, they have the same p-power part in the exponent, unless $p \mid q^m-1$ and $2m = ep^i$ (see (1)). This can't happen, since $p \mid q^m-1$ implies $e \mid m$, then $2(m/e) = p^i$ but p is odd;
- if e is odd, S_H is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m-2}(q)$. Like in the previous case, the exponent may be different if $2m = 2ep^i$, but m is even while both e and p are odd. □

Proposition 3.3. *$\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$ with $m \geqslant 4$ even and q odd always contains a subgroup with the same exponent, unless $p^a = 2m-1$ for some a, where p is the characteristic of the underlying field. An example of such a subgroup is $\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$.*

Proof. $\exp_2(\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)) = \exp_2(\Omega^-_{2m}(q))$ follows immediately from (4) and (5).

Take now p odd, $p \nmid q$. Since p is odd, S_G is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)$ and S_H to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^-_{2m}(q)$. If $p \mid q^m+1$, a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^-_{2m}(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)$. If $p \nmid q^m+1$, it's isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m-1}(q)$.

If e is odd, S_H and S_G are Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m-2}(q)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(q)$, respectively, so they may not have the same exponent if $2m = 2ep^i$, but m is even while e and p are odd.

If e is even, S_H and S_G are Sylow p-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m-1}(q)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{2m+1}(q)$, respectively. They may not have the same exponent if $2m+1 = ep^i+1$ (see (1)), but this can't happen:

- if $p \mid q^m-1$, $e \mid m$ and p is odd;
- if $p \nmid q^m-1$, call $e = 2f$ so that $m = fp^i$ and $q^{2f} \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Now $q^m \equiv q^{fp^i} \equiv q^f \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p$, which means that either $p \mid q^m-1$ or $p \mid q^m+1$, contradiction.

Finally, consider $p \mid q$. By (6), $\exp_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)) = \min\{\, p^a \mid p^a > 2m-1 \,\}$ and $\exp_p(\Omega^-_{2m}(q)) = \min\{\, p^a \mid p^a > 2m-3 \,\}$, so they're different iff $2m-3 < p^a \leqslant 2m-1$, i.e. $p^a = 2m-1$, for some a. If that happens, $\exp_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)) = p \cdot \exp_p(\Omega^-_{2m}(q))$.

$N_{\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)}(\Omega^-_{2m}(q)) = \mathrm{K}^-_{2m}(q)$. Indeed, it's known that $\mathrm{GO}^-_{2m}(q) \times \mathrm{GO}_1(q) \simeq \mathrm{GO}^-_{2m}(q) \times C_2$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_{2m+1}(q)$. Taking the kernel of the determinant and of the spinor norm, we get that $\mathrm{K}^-_{2m}(q) = \Omega^-_{2m}(q).2$ is a maximal subgroup of $\Omega_{2m+1}(q) = \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$, and the only one containing $\Omega^-_{2m}(q)$.

Since the formula in (6) depends only on the "type" of group, in this case orthogonal, then $\exp_p(\mathrm{K}^-_{2m}(q)) = \exp_p(\Omega^-_{2m}(q))$ for $p \mid q$, therefore $\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$ doesn't contain a subgroup with the same exponent if $p^a = 2m-1$. □

Proposition 3.4. *$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)$ with $m \geqslant 4$ even always contains a subgroup with the same exponent. An example of such a subgroup is $\Omega_{2m-1}(q)$.*

Proof. If q is odd, $\exp_2(\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)) = \exp_2(\Omega_{2m-1}(q))$ follows immediately from (4) and (5).

If $p \mid q$, $\exp_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q)) = \exp_p(\Omega_{2m-1}(q))$ follows from (6) since $c = 2m-2$ for both groups.

Take now p odd, $p \nmid q$. Since p is odd, S_G is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^+_{2m}(q)$ and S_H to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m-1}(q)$. If $p \nmid q^m - 1$, a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^+_{2m}(q)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m-1}(q)$. If $p \mid q^m - 1$, it's isomorphic to a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(q)$, and the situation is the same as in the proof of 3.3. □

4 Alternating Groups

As described in [5], if the alternating group A_n contains a subgroup M with the same exponent, then $A_k \trianglelefteq M \leqslant (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \cap A_n$. From now on, suppose $k \geqslant n-k$, i.e. $k \geqslant n/2$. Our claim is

Proposition 4.1. *The alternating group A_n ($n \geqslant 5$) doesn't contain a subgroup with the same exponent iff either $n = 10$, $n = p^r$ with p odd prime, or $n = p_f + 1$ where p_f is a Fermat prime.*

Consider $p \leqslant n$ odd, then $\exp_p(A_n) = p^t$, where $p^t \leqslant n < p^{t+1}$: take for example a p^t-cycle.

If $n = p^t$ then $\exp_p(M) \leqslant \exp_p(S_k \times S_{n-k}) = \exp_p(S_k) < p^t$, since $k < n$. Conversely, if $n \neq p^t$ we can take $k = n-1$ and get $\exp_p(A_{n-1}) = \exp_p(A_n)$.

Consider then $p = 2$. Since a 2^t-cycle doesn't belong to A_n, an element of maximal even order needs at least another disjoint transposition, which implies that $\exp_2(A_n) = 2^t$ where $2^t + 2 \leqslant n < 2^{t+1} + 2$. If $n \neq 2^t + 2$ then $\exp_2(A_{n-1}) = \exp_2(A_n)$. Conversely, if $n = 2^t + 2$ then take $k = n-2$ and get $\exp_2(A_n) = \exp_2((S_{n-2} \times S_2) \cap A_n)$.

Therefore:

- if $n \neq p^r$ and $n \neq 2^r + 2$, then $\exp(A_n) = \exp(A_{n-1})$;
- if $n = p^r$, then A_n can't have a subgroup with the same exponent;
- if $n = 2^r + 2$ and $n \neq p^s + 1$ for any p odd, then $\exp(A_n) = \exp((S_{n-2} \times S_2) \cap A_n)$;
- if $n = 2^r + 2$ and $n = p^s + 1$ for some p odd, then A_n can't have a subgroup with the same exponent, since $M \leqslant (S_{n-2} \times S_2) \cap A_n$ and $M \leqslant A_{n-1}$ imply $M \leqslant A_{n-2}$, hence $\exp_q(M) < \exp_q(A_n)$ for both $q = 2$ and $q = p$, as seen above.

In particular, A_n doesn't contain a subgroup with the same exponent if and only if either $n = p^r$ with p odd prime, or $n = 2^r + 2 = p^s + 1$ with p odd prime.

This last condition is realized when $2^r + 1 = p^s$. If $s = 1$, then $2^r + 1$ is a prime number iff it's a Fermat prime ($r = 1$ is irrelevant since $n \geqslant 5$). If $s > 1$, the only possibility is $r = p = 3$ and $s = 2$, i.e. $n = 10$, thanks to Mihăilescu's theorem [7].

5 Other groups

This section covers all the remaining pairs (G, M) deduced from [5]. Since here the possible M are explicitly listed, they can be studied computationally to get the following result:

Proposition 5.1. *Consider the pairs (G, M) in Table 1 not labelled* (a)-(e). *The only pairs which have $\exp(G) = \exp(M)$ are the following:*

$$(\mathrm{HS}, \mathrm{M}_{22}), \qquad (\mathrm{M}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{11}), \qquad (\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}).$$

Proof. Most of the cases can be easily computed (we used GAP), the results are shown in Table 3 below. Therefore HS, M_{12}, M_{24} have a subgroup with the same exponent, and the others don't, since the listed subgroups are maximal.

Notice that some pairs (G, M) aren't included because M missing from the ATLAS database (i.e. there isn't an explicit set of generators to make computations with):

$$(\mathrm{U}_4(2), 2^4 \rtimes A_5), \qquad (\mathrm{L}_6(2), 2^5 \rtimes \mathrm{L}_5(2)), \qquad (\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_8(2), 2^6 \rtimes A_8).$$

They can all be excluded using the same argument: call $M = 2^k \rtimes H$, then $\exp(G) = 3 \cdot n \cdot \exp(H)$ where $n = 1, 2$. Then it's clear that $\exp(M)$ is also missing a factor 3, since M is obtained by taking the semidirect product of H with a 2-group. □

G	$\exp(G)$	M	$\exp(M)$
A_6	60	$\mathrm{L}_2(5)$	30
$\mathrm{U}_3(3)$	168	$\mathrm{L}_2(7)$	84
$\mathrm{U}_3(5)$	840	A_7	420
$\mathrm{U}_4(2)$	180	S_6	60
$\mathrm{U}_4(3)$	2520	$\mathrm{L}_3(4)$	420
		A_7	420
$\mathrm{U}_5(2)$	3960	$\mathrm{L}_2(11)$	330
$\mathrm{U}_6(2)$	27720	M_{22}	9240
$\mathrm{PSp}_4(7)$	4200	A_7	420
$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	2520	S_8	840
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_8^+(2)$	2520	A_9	1260
$G_2(3)$	6552	$\mathrm{L}_2(13)$	546
${}^2F_4(2)'$	3120	$\mathrm{L}_2(25)$	780
M_{11}	1320	$\mathrm{L}_2(11)$	330
M_{12}	1320	M_{11}	1320
M_{24}	212520	M_{23}	212520
HS	9240	M_{22}	9240
McL	27720	M_{22}	9240
Co_2	1275120	M_{23}	212520
Co_3	637560	M_{23}	212520

Table 3: Exponent evaluation for the remaining cases of Table 1.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Andrea Lucchini, who inspired this work and helped me finding some key references.

References

[1] T. C. Burness and E. Covato. "On the prime graph of simple groups". In: *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 91.2 (Apr. 2015), pp. 227–240.

[2] R. Carter and P. Fong. "The Sylow 2-Subgroups of the Finite Classical Groups". In: *Journal of Algebra* 1.2 (Jan. 1964), pp. 139–151.

[3] E. Covato. "On boundedly generated subgroups of profinite groups". In: *Journal of Algebra* 406 (May 2014), pp. 20–45.

[4] W. E. Duckworth. "Unipotent Classes in the Classical Groups Parametrized by Subgroups". In: *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie* 52.1 (Apr. 2011), pp. 13–27.

[5] M. W. Liebeck, C. E. Praeger, and J. Saxl. "Transitive Subgroups of Primitive Permutation Groups". In: *Journal of Algebra* (2000), pp. 291–361.

[6] A. Lucchini, M. Morigi, and P. Shumyatsky. "Boundedly generated subgroups of finite groups". In: *Forum Mathematicum* 24.4 (June 2012), pp. 875–887.

[7] P. Mihăilescu. "Primary Cyclotomic Units and a Proof of Catalan's Conjecture". In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 572 (2004), pp. 167–195.

[8] D. M. Testerman. "A_l-type Overgroups of Elements of Order p in Semisimple Algebraic Groups and the Associated Finite Groups". In: *Journal of Algebra* (1995), pp. 34–76.

[9] A. J. Weir. "Sylow p-Subgroups of the Classical Groups Over Finite Fields with Characteristic Prime to p". In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 6.4 (Aug. 1955), pp. 529–533.

[10] W. J. Wong. "Twisted Wreath Products and Sylow 2-Subgroups of Classical Simple Groups". In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 97.5 (1967), pp. 406–424.